

**Table of Contents**

**PREFACE..... S-1**

**SUMMARY ... S-1**

S-1 REGIONAL PLANNING CONTEXT ..... S-1

S-2 PURPOSE AND NEED..... S-3

S-3 REFINEMENTS TO THE PROJECT AND EIS/EIR..... S-6

S-4 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN THE SFEIS/SFEIR..... S-7

S-5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, DESIGN REQUIREMENTS/ RT PRACTICES,  
AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES.....S-11

S-6 SUMMARY OF CAPITAL COSTS.....S-47

S-7 OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COSTS.....S-47

S-8 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION.....S-48

S-9 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED/AREAS OF CONTROVERSY.....S-49

**Chapter 1: ....PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR TRANSPORTATION  
IMPROVEMENTS ..... 1-1**

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION..... 1-1

1.2 NEED..... 1-4

1.2.1 South Sacramento Corridor ..... 1-4

1.2.2 Current and Future Population and Employment ..... 1-4

1.2.3 Current and Future Travel Demand..... 1-8

1.2.4 Air Quality .....1-11

1.2.5 Other Needs .....1-13

**CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES ..... 2-1**

2.1 BACKGROUND ..... 2-1

2.2 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE ..... 2-3

2.2.1 Background Assumptions ..... 2-4

2.2.2 No-Action Alternative: Corridor Transit System..... 2-4

2.2.3 No-Action Alternative Highway Network Improvements..... 2-6

2.3 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT (TSM) ALTERNATIVE..... 2-9

2.3.1 TSM Alternative Bus Service .....2-10

2.3.2 TSM Alternative Rail Transit Operations.....2-13

2.3.3 TSM Alternative Highway Improvements .....2-13

2.3.4 TSM Alternative Transit Centers.....2-13

2.4 LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE PHASE 2.....2-14

2.4.1 LPAP2 Highway Improvements .....2-14

2.4.2 LPAP2 Transit Service .....2-14

2.4.3 LPAP2 Alignment Description.....2-14

2.4.4 Detailed Description of LRT Alignment.....2-18

2.4.5 LPAP2 Stations.....2-45

2.4.6 Traction Power.....2-49

2.4.7 Right-of-Way Requirements .....2-50

2.5 FLEET AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY REQUIREMENTS.....2-51

2.6 CAPITAL COST SUMMARY.....2-52

2.6.1	TSM Alternative Capital Costs .....	2-52
2.6.2	LPAP2 Capital Costs.....	2-52
2.7	OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COST SUMMARY .....	2-54
2.7.1	RT's Current Operating and Maintenance Costs.....	2-54
2.7.2	Operating and Maintenance Costs of the TSM Alternative .....	2-54
2.7.3	Operating and Maintenance Costs of the LPAP2 .....	2-54
2.8	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION.....	2-54
2.8.1	Meadowview Road to Union House Creek .....	2-55
2.8.2	Bufferlands Corridor (UPRR Corridor to Franklin Boulevard).....	2-55
2.8.3	Franklin Boulevard to Bruceville Road.....	2-56
2.8.4	Bruceville Road Segment .....	2-57
2.8.5	LRT Segment from the CRC to Calvine/Auberry.....	2-58
2.8.6	Storage and Maintenance Facility.....	2-58
2.9	RELATED PROJECTS .....	2-59
2.10	ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED/AREAS OF CONTROVERSY .....	2-61
<b>CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION .....</b>		<b>3-1</b>
3.1	OVERVIEW.....	3-1
3.2	TRANSIT .....	3-1
3.2.1	Existing Rail Transit Services .....	3-1
3.2.2	Existing Bus Services .....	3-7
3.2.3	Future Transit Services in the South Sacramento Phase 2 Corridor .....	3-10
3.2.4	Projected Future Rail and Bus Patronage.....	3-12
3.2.5	Projected Travel Times/Accessibility.....	3-22
3.2.6	Mitigation Measures.....	3-25
3.3	VEHICULAR TRAFFIC .....	3-25
3.3.1	Existing Street and Highway System .....	3-25
3.3.2	Existing Traffic Volumes and Level of Service.....	3-25
3.3.3	Criteria for Assessing Project-Specific Impacts on Vehicular Traffic .....	3-32
3.3.4	No-Action Alternative Long-Term Traffic Impacts .....	3-32
3.3.5	TSM Alternative Traffic Impacts.....	3-36
3.3.6	LPAP2 Long-Term Traffic Impacts.....	3-41
3.3.7	Delays at Grade Crossings.....	3-42
3.3.8	Circulation Impacts in Station Areas.....	3-43
3.3.9	Mitigation Measures.....	3-46
3.4.1	2012 Opening Day Arterial and Intersection Impacts.....	3-47
3.4.2	2012 LPAP2 Intersection Impacts.....	3-47
3.4.3	2012 Intersection Mitigations.....	3-47
3.5	PARKING.....	3-53
3.5.1	No-Action Alternative.....	3-53
3.5.2	TSM Alternative.....	3-53
3.5.3	LPAP2 .....	3-55
3.5.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-56
3.6	PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES.....	3-56
3.6.1	No-Action Alternative.....	3-56
3.6.2	TSM Alternative.....	3-56
3.6.3	LPAP2 .....	3-58
3.6.4	Mitigation Measures.....	3-58

<b>CHAPTER 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 AESTHETICS.....	4-1
4.1.1 Overview .....	4-1
4.1.2 Visual Character .....	4-1
4.1.3 Locations Possessing Sensitive Visual Receptors or Offering Scenic Views.....	4-2
4.1.4 Visual Changes under the TSM or LPAP2 Alternatives .....	4-5
4.1.5 Mitigation Measures.....	4-9
4.2 AGRICULTURE .....	4-9
4.2.1 Regulatory Setting.....	4-9
4.2.2 Farmland Impacts .....	4-10
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures.....	4-11
4.3 AIR QUALITY.....	4-11
4.3.1 Setting .....	4-12
4.3.2 Air Quality Analysis Methodology .....	4-15
4.3.3 Impacts.....	4-16
4.3.4 Mitigation Measures.....	4-22
4.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	4-22
4.4.1 Regulatory Context.....	4-22
4.4.2 Methodology.....	4-25
4.4.3 Overview of Existing Natural Resources.....	4-26
4.4.4 Impacts.....	4-47
4.4.5 Agency Consultations.....	4-52
4.4.6 Mitigation Measures.....	4-52
4.5 HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	4-54
4.5.1 Regulatory Compliance .....	4-54
4.5.2 Resources in the Area of Potential Effects.....	4-56
4.5.3 Impacts.....	4-60
4.5.4 Mitigation Measures.....	4-60
4.6 ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF) AND ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE (EMI).....	4-61
4.6.1 Electromagnetic Fields (EMF).....	4-61
4.6.2 Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) .....	4-64
4.6.3 Mitigation Measures.....	4-64
4.7 GEOLOGY, SOILS AND SEISMICITY .....	4-64
4.7.1 Setting .....	4-64
4.7.2 Impacts.....	4-67
4.7.3 Mitigation Measures.....	4-67
4.8 HAZARDOUS WASTES .....	4-67
4.8.1 Affected Environment .....	4-67
4.8.2 Impacts.....	4-68
4.8.3 Mitigation Measures.....	4-70
4.9 HYDROLOGY, FLOODPLAIN, AND WATER QUALITY .....	4-71
4.9.1 Setting .....	4-71
4.9.2 Impacts.....	4-77
4.9.3 Mitigation Measures.....	4-80

4.10	LAND USE AND PLANNING .....	4-81
4.10.1	Existing Land Uses .....	4-83
4.10.2	Local Development Plans and Policies .....	4-83
4.10.3	Future Land Uses in the Near the LPAP2 Alignment/Related Projects .....	4-86
4.10.4	Impacts .....	4-91
4.10.5	Design Requirements and RT Practices .....	4-92
4.10.6	Mitigation Measures.....	4-93
4.11	MINERAL AND ENERGY RESOURCES .....	4-93
4.11.1	Minerals .....	4-93
4.11.2	Energy .....	4-93
4.12	NOISE AND VIBRATION .....	4-100
4.12.1	Methodology and Criteria .....	4-100
4.12.2	Sensitive Receptors .....	4-107
4.12.3	Measurement of Existing Noise and Vibration.....	4-107
4.12.4	Noise Impacts.....	4-113
4.12.5	Ground Vibration Impact Assessment.....	4-124
4.12.6	Noise Impact Mitigation Measures.....	4-131
4.12.7	Ground-Borne Vibration Mitigation .....	4-137
4.12.8	Cumulative Impacts Summary .....	4-138
4.13	POPULATION, HOUSING, AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE .....	4-138
4.13.1	Demographic Characteristics .....	4-138
4.13.2	Neighborhood and Businesses .....	4-143
4.13.3	Property Acquisition and Relocation .....	4-147
4.13.4	Effects on Neighborhoods and Businesses .....	4-150
4.13.5	Environmental Justice.....	4-151
4.13.6	Growth Inducement .....	4-153
4.13.7	Mitigation Measures.....	4-153
4.14	PUBLIC SERVICES AND FACILITIES.....	4-153
4.14.1	Setting .....	4-153
4.14.2	Impacts .....	4-157
4.14.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4-158
4.15	RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.....	4-158
4.15.1	Setting .....	4-158
4.15.2	Impacts .....	4-158
4.15.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4-161
4.16	SAFETY AND SECURITY.....	4-161
4.16.1	Security at RT Facilities.....	4-161
4.16.2	Impacts .....	4-162
4.16.3	Safety of Children.....	4-166
4.16.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4-167
4.17	UTILITIES.....	4-168
4.17.1	Setting .....	4-168
4.17.2	Impacts .....	4-168
4.17.3	Design Requirements and RT Practices .....	4-172
4.17.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4-172
4.18	SECTION 4(f) .....	4-172
4.19	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .....	4-172
4.19.1	Regulatory Context.....	4-172

4.19.2	Issues That Are Addressed Cumulatively Through the Areawide Land Use and Transportation Model.....	4-173
4.19.3	Noise .....	4-174
4.19.4	Impacts Related to Right-of-Way Requirements .....	4-175
4.19.5	Phase 3 .....	4-178
4.20	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES .....	4-178
4.21	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY .....	4-179

**CHAPTER 5: CONSTRUCTION PHASE EFFECTS ..... 5-1**

5.1	OVERVIEW OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY REQUIREMENTS .....	5-1
5.1.1	TSM Alternative.....	5-1
5.1.2	LPAP2 .....	5-1
5.2	CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION .....	5-6
5.2.1	Aesthetics.....	5-7
5.2.2	Agriculture.....	5-7
5.2.3	Air Quality .....	5-8
5.2.4	Biological Resources .....	5-11
5.2.5	Cultural Resources.....	5-18
5.2.6	Employment .....	5-19
5.2.7	Geology, Soils and Seismicity.....	5-20
5.2.8	Hazardous Wastes.....	5-21
5.2.9	Hydrology, Floodplain, and Water Quality .....	5-22
5.2.10	Land Use.....	5-23
5.2.11	Mineral and Energy Resources .....	5-23
5.2.12	Neighborhoods and Businesses.....	5-24
5.2.13	Noise and Vibration .....	5-24
5.2.14	Parks and Recreation.....	5-25
5.2.15	Public Services and Facilities.....	5-26
5.2.16	Safety and Security .....	5-26
5.2.17	Transportation Impacts.....	5-27
5.2.18	Utilities .....	5-31
5.2.19	Cumulative Construction .....	5-32

**CHAPTER 6: CEQA CONSIDERATIONS AND FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE... 6-1**

6.1	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA .....	6-1
6.2	REFINEMENTS TO THE PROJECT AND EIS/EIR .....	6-10
6.3	UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE EFFECTS UNDER CEQA .....	6-12
6.3.1	Impacts with CEQA Baseline (Current) Conditions .....	6-58
6.3.2	CEQA Mandatory Findings of Significance .....	6-59
6.4	GROWTH INDUCEMENT .....	6-60

**CHAPTER 7: FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION ..... 7-1**

7.1	OVERVIEW .....	7-1
7.2	FUNDING FOR CONSTRUCTION COSTS .....	7-1
7.2.1	Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement .....	7-2
7.2.2	Laguna Community Facilities District .....	7-2
7.2.3	Vineyard Fee District.....	7-2
7.2.4	State Transportation Improvement Program .....	7-2

7.2.5	Measure A Sales Tax / Developer Fees .....	7-3
7.2.6	State Traffic Congestion Relief Program .....	7-3
7.2.7	Federal Section 5309 New Starts .....	7-4
7.3	FUNDING FOR OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS.....	7-4
7.4	CASH FLOW ANALYSIS.....	7-5
7.5	RISK ANALYSIS .....	7-5
7.6	CONCLUSIONS .....	7-6
7.7	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES .....	7-7
7.7.1	New Starts Evaluation Process.....	7-7
7.7.2	Goals and Objectives .....	7-13
7.7.3	Travel and Mobility.....	7-17
7.7.4	Land Use.....	7-20
7.7.5	Financial and Economic.....	7-21
7.7.6	Environmental.....	7-22
7.7.7	Community Considerations.....	7-23

**CHAPTER 8: CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION..... 8-1**

8.1	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/PUBLIC INFORMATION PROGRAM .....	8-1
8.1.1	Public Involvement During Preparation of Supplemental Final EIS/Subsequent Final EIR.....	8-2
8.2	AGENCY CONSULTATION AND APPROVALS.....	8-13
8.2.1	Informal Agency Coordination .....	8-13
8.2.2	Agency Scoping Meeting .....	8-13
8.2.3	Agency Consultation and Review of Supplemental Draft EIS/Subsequent Draft EIR .....	8-14
8.2.4	Other Permits and Approvals.....	8-14
8.2.5	Agencies Consulted .....	8-16
8.3	OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES AND STAKEHOLDERS .....	8-19
8.4	CHRONOLOGY OF AGENCY COORDINATION.....	8-19

**Appendices**

APPENDIX A:	Bibliography, Notes and References
APPENDIX B:	Definitions and Abbreviations
APPENDIX C:	List of Preparers
APPENDIX D:	Distribution List
APPENDIX E:	Agency Correspondence
APPENDIX F:	RT District Board Resolution Certifying EIR for Locally Preferred Alternative
APPENDIX G:	Cultural Resources (Archeology) Area of Potential Effects (APE) Maps
APPENDIX H:	Historic Architecture Area of Potential Effects (APE) Maps
APPENDIX I:	Air Quality Technical Appendices
APPENDIX J:	Biological Opinion
APPENDIX K:	CCSP2 Grade Crossing

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure S-1: Project Location ..... S-5

Figure S-2: Locally Preferred Alternative Phase 2 Proposed Light Rail Transit (LRT) Alignment and Station Locations..... S-10

Figure 1.1-1: Major Activity Centers ..... 1-2

Figure 1.2-3: Growth in Corridor ..... 1-4

Figure 1.2-1: South Sacramento Corridors ..... 1-5

Figure 1.2-2: Sacramento County Regional Analysis Districts..... 1-6

Figure 1.2-4: Potential Connection Points to LRT System ..... 1-14

Figure 2.2-1: Year 2030 No-Action Alternative ..... 2-7

Figure 2.3-1: Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Alternative ..... 2-11

Figure 2.4.1: LRT Alternative..... 2-15

Figure 2.4-2: Locally Preferred Alternative Phase 2 ..... 2-16

Figure 2.4-3: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-19

Figure 2.4-4: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-20

Figure 2.4-5: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-21

Figure 2.4-6: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-22

Figure 2.4-7: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-23

Figure 2.4-8: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-24

Figure 2.4-9: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-25

Figure 2.4-10: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-26

Figure 2.4-11: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-27

Figure 2.4-12: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-28

Figure 2.4-13: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-29

Figure 2.4-14: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-30

Figure 2.4-15: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-31

Figure 2.4-16: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-32

Figure 2.4-17: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-33

Figure 2.4-18: LPAP2 Layout Plans ..... 2-34

Figure 2.4-19: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections..... 2-35

Figure 2.4-20: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections..... 2-36

Figure 2.4-21: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections..... 2-37

Figure 2.4-22: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections..... 2-38

Figure 2.4-23: LPAP2 Layout Plans – Morrison Creek Station Plan..... 2-39

Figure 2.4-24: LPAP2 Layout Plans – Franklin Boulevard Station Plan..... 2-40

Figure 2.4-25: LPAP2 Layout Plans – Center Parkway Station Plan..... 2-41

Figure 2.4-26: LPAP2 Layout Plans – Cosumnes River College Station Plan.....	2-42
Figure 2.4-27: Visual Simulation of Cosumnes River College Light Rail Station .....	2-50
Figure 3.2-1: Definition of Corridors in RT Service Area .....	3-2
Figure 3.2-2: Existing Transit Network .....	3-3
Figure 3.2-3: Illustration of Linked Transit Trips and Transit Boardings .....	3-16
Figure 3.3-1: Study Area Highway Network.....	3-26
Figure 3.6-1: Existing Bicycle Facilities.....	3-57
Figure 4.1-1: View of Meadowview Road and Meadowview Station Site (Looking East).....	4-3
Figure 4.1-2: Homes North of UPRR/Union House Creek Bridge Location (Looking Southwest).....	4-3
Figure 4.1-3: View of Franklin Boulevard/Cosumnes River Boulevard Intersection (Looking North down Franklin Boulevard) .....	4-4
Figure 4.1-4: View of Bruceville Road/Cosumnes River Boulevard Intersection and Cosumnes River College (Looking Southwest) .....	4-4
Figure 4.1-5: Meadowview Road LRT Flyover .....	4-6
Figure 4.1-6: Meadowview Road Underpass .....	4-6
Figure 4.1-7: View of UPRR/Union House Creek LRT Bridge from Homes to the North.....	4-7
Figure 4.1-8: Franklin Boulevard LRT Flyover.....	4-8
Figure 4.1-9: Bruceville Road LRT Flyover .....	4-8
Figure 4.4-1: General Habitat Areas in the LPAP2 Area.....	4-28
Figure 4.4-2: General Habitat Areas in the LPAP2 Area.....	4-29
Figure 4.9-1: Existing 100-Year Floodplain .....	4-74
Figure 4.9-2: 100-Year Floodplain After Implementation of Flood Control Projects (By Others).....	4-75
Figure 4.10-1: Existing Land Use Map .....	4-82
Figure 4.10-2: Future Land Use Map.....	4-87
Figure 4.10-3: Major Construction Projects in LPAP2 Corridor Area .....	4-88
Figure 4.11-1: SMUD Sources of Electricity 1998-2002 .....	4-94
Figure 4.12-1: Examples of Typical Outdoor Noise Exposure .....	4-101
Figure 4.12-2: Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels and Criteria.....	4-105
Figure 4.12-3: FTA Vibration Criteria for Detailed Assessment .....	4-107
Figure 4.12-4: Noise Measurement Sites: LPAP2 LRT Alignment.....	4-108
Figure 4.12-5: Maximum Existing Union Pacific Freight Train Vibration at 15 mph.....	4-111
Figure 4.12-6: Ground-Borne Vibration Measurement Locations .....	4-112
Figure 4.12-7: Ground-Borne Vibration Measurement Locations .....	4-113
Figure 4.12-8: Noise Impacts Before Mitigation.....	4-116
Figure 4.12-9: Noise Impacts Before Mitigation.....	4-117
Figure 4.12-10: Crossing Bell Noise at Meadowview Road Grade Crossing.....	4-122
Figure 4.12-11: Crossing Bell Noise at Franklin Boulevard Grade Crossing .....	4-123
Figure 4.12-12: Crossing Bell Noise at Center Parkway Grade Crossing .....	4-124

Figure 4.12-13: Crossing Bell Noise at CRB Mid-Block Grade Crossing Option (LPAP2 includes Flyover rather than mid-block crossing) .....4-125

Figure 4.12-14: Force Density Function Used for Vibration Predictions, Ballast and Tie Track.....4-127

Figure 4.12-15: Predicted Overall Vibration Level vs. Distance, Ballast and Tie Track.....4-128

Figure 4.12-16: Predicted Outdoor LRT Vibration Spectra at 50, 75, 100 and 125 ft.....4-128

Figure 4.12-17: Preliminary Noise Barrier Locations.....4-133

Figure 4.12-18: Preliminary Noise Barrier Locations.....4-134

Figure 4.13-1: Socioeconomic Study Area Census Tracts .....4-140

Figure 4.13-2: Community/Neighborhood Groups.....4-144

Figure 4.14-1: Public and Cultural Facilities .....4-155

Figure 4.15-1: Parks and Recreational Facilities .....4-160

Figure 5.1-1: Project Design and Construction Schedule – South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 Project ..... 5-2

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table S-1: South Sacramento Corridor Milestones ..... S-3

Table S-2: Summary of Long-Term Impacts, Design Requirements/RT Practices, and Proposed Mitigation Measures..... S-12

Table S-3: Summary of Short-Term Impacts, Design Requirements/RT Practices, and Proposed Mitigation Measures..... S-29

Table S-4: LPAP2 Capital Cost Estimate by Cost Category ..... S-48

Table S-5: Identified Capital Funding for the LPAP2 Project ..... S-49

Table 1.2-1: Current and Future Households and Employment ..... 1-7

Table 1.2-2: Person Trip Demand in South Sacramento Corridor ..... 1-8

Table 1.2-3: LPAP2 Project Current and Projected Traffic Congestion on I-5 and SR 99 No-Action Alternative..... 1-9

Table 1.2-4: Transit Riders per Day in South Sacramento Corridor No-Action Alternative ..... 1-10

Table 1.2-5: Air Quality Standards, Ambient Measurements and Violations, in Project Vicinity ..... 1-12

Table 2.2-1: No-Action Alternative Transit Service in South Sacramento Corridor ..... 2-8

Table 2.2-2: Major Highway Improvements Programmed for South Sacramento Corridor to 2030 . 2-9

Table 2.3-1: 2030 Transit Service in South Sacramento Corridor ..... 2-12

Table 2.4-1: LPAP2 Transit Service in South Sacramento Corridor ..... 2-17

Table 2.5-1: Vehicle Maintenance Facilities and Requirements ..... 2-51

Table 2.6-1: Capital Cost Summary Transportation Systems Management Alternative ..... 2-52

Table 2.6-2: LPAP2 Capital Cost Estimate by Cost Category ..... 2-53

Table 2.6-3: Capital Cost Summary – Incremental Increase in Costs for LPAP2 Design Options Above the Base Case Costs ..... 2-53

Table 2.7-1: 2030 Annual Operating Statistics for LPAP2 ..... 2-54

Table 3.2-1: Year 2001 Average Weekday Transit Boarding ..... 3-6

Table 3.2-2: Accessibility Comparison AM and PM Peak Period Travel Times by Mode ..... 3-6

Table 3.2-3: Year 2001 Average Weekday RT Transit Boardings by Line ..... 3-8

Table 3.2-4: Year 2001 Bus Routes in the South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 Study Area ..... 3-9

Table 3.2-5: Comparison of Peak Hour Passenger Carrying Capacity..... 3-13

Table 3.2-6: 2030 Weekday Linked Transit Trips by Corridor..... 3-14

Table 3.2-7: 2030 Weekday Transit Passenger Boardings by Corridor ..... 3-15

Table 3.2-8: 2030 Regionwide Weekday Linked Transit Trips by Access Mode<sup>1</sup> ..... 3-17

Table 3.2-9: 2030 Regionwide Weekday Transit Passenger Boardings by Vehicle Type..... 3-19

Table 3.2-10: Weekday LRT Passenger Boardings by Access Mode ..... 3-20

Table 3.2-11: 2030 Weekday Station/Transit Center Boardings and Parking Space Demand TSM Alternative..... 3-20

Table 3.2-12: 2030 Weekday LRT Station Boardings and Parking Space Demand LPAP2..... 3-21

Table 3.2-13: Year 2000 and 2030 AM-Peak Period Travel Times ..... 3-23

Table 3.2-14: User Benefits by District (LRT Compared to TSM) .....	3-24
Table 3.3-1: Level of Service Criteria for Freeways .....	3-27
Table 3.3-2: Existing (Year 2005) Levels of Service on Study Area Freeways .....	3-28
Table 3.3-3: Year 2007-2030 Change in Daily Traffic Volumes on Study Area Arterial Roadways	3-29
Table 3.3-4: LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections .....	3-30
Table 3.3-5: Existing (Year 2007) Intersection Levels of Service .....	3-31
Table 3.3-6: Existing and Year 2030 Freeway Levels of Service - No-Action Alternative .....	3-33
Table 3.3-7: Year 2030 Intersection Levels of Service - No-Action Alternative .....	3-35
Table 3.3-8: Year 2030 Levels of Service on Study Area Freeways - TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives	3-37
Table 3.3-9: Daily Traffic Volumes on Study Area Arterial Roadways–TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives .....	3-38
Table 3.3-10: Year 2030 Intersection LOS Impacts-TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives-AM Peak Hour ..	3-39
Table 3.3-11: Year 2030 Intersection LOS Impacts-TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives-PM Peak Hour ..	3-40
Table 3.3-12: Year 2030 Design Queue Lengths at Grade Crossings (95th percentile queue, per lane in vehicles) - AM Peak Hour .....	3-44
Table 3.3-13: Year 2030 Design Queue Lengths at Grade Crossings (95th percentile queue, per lane in vehicles) - PM Peak Hour .....	3-44
Table 3.3-14: Year 2030 Intersection Efficiency Changes (percent change in seconds of green time per hour).....	3-45
Table 3.3-15: Year 2030 Intersection LOS Mitigations - TSM and LPAP2.....	3-48
Table 3.4-1: Daily Traffic Volumes on Study Area Arterial Roadways – No Action and LPAP2 Alternatives .....	3-49
Table 3.4-2: Year 2012 Intersection Levels of Service.....	3-50
Table 3.4-3: Year 2012 Intersection LOS Mitigations - LPAP2 .....	3-51
Table 3.4-4: Year 2012 Design Queue Lengths (number of vehicles per lane) (95th percentile queue per lane in vehicles) .....	3-52
Table 3.5-1: Year 2030 Changes in Parking Demand .....	3-54
Table 4.2-1: Farmland Impacts with the TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives .....	4-10
Table 4.3-1: Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	4-11
Table 4.3-2: Federal Emissions Thresholds <sup>1</sup> .....	4-12
Table 4.3-3: Air Quality Standards, Ambient Measurements and Violations, in Project Vicinity .....	4-13
Table 4.3-4: Criteria Pollutant Emissions (Burden Analysis) .....	4-17
Table 4.3-5: Criteria Pollutant Emissions Comparison (Burden Analysis) .....	4-17
Table 4.3-6: Carbon Monoxide (CO) Concentrations (parts per million) .....	4-18
Table 4.3-7: Carbon Monoxide (CO) Concentrations With Parking Structure Design Options (parts per million).....	4-20
Table 4.4-1: Noxious Weeds Observed Within the Project Area .....	4-31
Table 4.4-2: Special-Status Plant and Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in the Study Area .	4-33
Table 4.4-3: Known Localities of the Giant Garter Snake in the Project Vicinity .....	4-44
Table 4.4-4: Vegetation Community Impacts (acres) for the TSM Alternative.....	4-48

Table 4.4-5: Vegetation Community Impacts (acres) for the LPAP2 .....	4-48
Table 4.4-6: Special-status Species Habitat Impacts (acres) of the TSM Alternative .....	4-50
Table 4.4-7: Special-status Species Habitat Impacts (acres) for the LPAP2.....	4-51
Table 4.4-8: Jurisdictional Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S. Impacts (acres).....	4-52
Table 4.5-1: Previous Cultural Surveys and Known Sites Adjacent to/Overlapping with the Archaeological APE for the LPAP2 Alternative.....	4-57
Table 4.6-1: EMF Intensities from Common Human Activities.....	4-62
Table 4.7- 1: Active Faults Within 100 Miles of the LPAP2 Alignment .....	4-66
Table 4.8-1: Hazardous Wastes Site Affected .....	4-68
Table 4.11-1: Direct and Total Energy Use by Transit and Auto Modes (2030).....	4-97
Table 4.11-2: Annual Energy Consumption for Vehicle Operations by Alternative (2030) .....	4-98
Table 4.12-1: FTA Noise Impact Criteria.....	4-103
Table 4.12-2: Cumulative Noise Level Increase Allowed by FTA Criteria .....	4-104
Table 4.12-3: Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for General Assessment.....	4-106
Table 4.12-4: Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results .....	4-114
Table 4.12-5: Projected Noise Impacts at Residences due to LRT Operations .....	4-115
Table 4.12-6: Projected Noise Impacts at Non-Residential Receptors due to LPAP2 LRT Operations (FTA Noise Category 3).....	4-118
Table 4.12-7: Projected Vibration Impacts at Residences Due to LRT Operations.....	4-125
Table 4.12-8: Land Use Category 3 Vibration Impacts .....	4-127
Table 4.12-9: Recommended Noise Barriers .....	4-128
Table 4.12-10: Recommended Locations for Vibration Mitigation .....	4-133
Table 4.13-1: 2000-2030 Population, Housing, and Employment in the Study Area .....	4-135
Table 4.13-2: Household Characteristics .....	4-137
Table 4.13-3: Ethnic Composition in the Study Area .....	4-138
Table 4.13-4: Household Income and Poverty Status .....	4-138
Table 4.13-5: 2000 Transit Dependent Populations .....	4-139
Table 4.13-6: Summary of Right-of-Way Acquisitions (Including Easements) and Estimated Residential and Non-Residential Relocations .....	4-144
Table 4.14-1: Existing Public and Cultural Facilities .....	4-150
Table 4.14-2: Community Facilities Provided Improved Access Under TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives .....	4-153
Table 4.15-1: Existing Parks and Recreational Facilities in the Study Area .....	4-155
Table 4.17-1: Utility Summary for LPAP2.....	4-165
Table 4.17-2: Utility Modifications Proposed for the Light Rail Transit Alternative.....	4-166
Table 5.2-1: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions - TSM Alternative (pounds per day).....	5-8
Table 5.2-2: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions - Light Rail Alternative (pounds per day)....	5-9
Table 5.2-3: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions After Design Requirements/RT Practices and Mitigation TSM Alternative (pounds per day) .....	5-11

Table 5.2-4: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions After Design Requirements/RT Practices and Mitigation Light Rail Alternative (pounds per day) ..... 5-11

Table 5.2-5: Impacts from Construction Investment in the South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 Project (Millions of 2005 dollars) ..... 5-19

Table 5.2-6: Year 2012 Intersection Levels of Service with and without Haul Truck Traffic.....5-28

Table 5.2-7: Street Closures Due to Construction of the LPAP2 ..... 5-29

Table 6.1-1: CEQA Significance Threshold for Selected Environmental Impact Categories ..... 6-2

Table 6.3-1: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Mitigation for the TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives . 6-13

Table 7.2-1: LPAP2 Capital Cost Estimate by Cost Category ..... 7-1

Table 7.2-2: Identified Capital Funding for the LPAP2 Project..... 7-2

Table 7.2-3: Tons Per Year Reduction from LRT.....7-11

Table 7.7-1: Comparison of Alternatives in 2030 with Measure of Goals and Objectives..... 7-14

Table 8.2-1: Agency Approvals ..... 8-15

